IIDAPG. OOLCI R.S. RA. JOH TRA UNITED

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/771,309	01/26/2001	Kazuo Taguchi	IIDAP6.001C1	7653	
IPE	81/	90 06/06/2002				
	KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMI	MINER	
2 7 20	ASIXTEENTH FI			COMBS, JANELL A		
	NEWPORT BE	ACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NDEW				1742	7	
			·	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OVPE	•	MF
(A)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
FEB 2 7 2004 55	09/771,309	TAGUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app.	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely fited will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	larch 2002 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· ·
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ed by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	miner.	
	anianiha andan 25 H O O C 4404 A	(1) (0)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)-	(a) or (t).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
		- Al-
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	~
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provi 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	isional application has been recei	ived.
Attachment(s)		,
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4. 	4) Interview Summary (l 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)
C. Detect and Trademat. Off		

Application/Control Number: 09/771,309

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 2-5 and 7-10 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Figure 4A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is an improper hybrid claim. A process claim cannot depend on a product claim, wherein said product claim is withdrawn from consideration. The limitations of the product claim must be written out in claim 2.

Method claims 2-5, and 7-10 must have actively recited steps (i.e. extruding instead of extrusion). Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1742

It is unclear if the first homogenizing treatment mentioned in claims 2, 3, 7, and 8 is optional because the minimum holding time is zero hours. Clarification is needed.

The phrase "at least 0.3-1.5 wt% Mn" renders the present claims indefinite because it is unclear if "at least" refers to only 0.3% or the entire range 0.3-1.5%. If "at least" refers to only 0.3%, then the examiner suggests changing said phrase to "at least 0.3 to 1.5 wt% Mn" (or I'mit provid. something similar) in order to clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wade (US 5,286,316) in view of JP 61-119645A (JP'645).

Wade teaches a process for producing heat exchanger tubing (abstract) from a 3000 series aluminum alloy comprising 0.1-0.5% Mn, wherein said process comprises the steps of homogenizing at 1100°F (593°C) for 24 hours and then homogenizing at 950°F(510°C) for 24 hours (see footnote on Table IVa), cooling at a cooling rate of <200°F/hr (column 10 lines 33-35, column 12 lines 20-23), and extruding (column 12 line 13). Wade teaches "homogenization practice is intended to precipitate the remaining manganese as a dispersoid" (column 5 lines 4-6). Wade broadly teaches homogenizing at temperatures between 750-1180°F (399-638°C).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,309

Art Unit: 1742

Wade does not mention extruding by "port hole" extrusion. However, JP'645 teaches that port hole extrusion can be applied to similar 3000 series alloys, and is used for producing seamed piping connectors for heat exchanger applications (abstracts, Fig. 1-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform port hole extrusion, as taught by JP'645, after the homogenization cycle of Wade because JP'645 teaches that similar 3000 alloys are suitable for port hole extrusion.

7. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wade (US 5,286,316) and JP 61-119645A (JP'645), in view of "Metals Handbook: Desk Edition" p 428.

As stated above, Wade and JP'645 teach a process of homogenizing and extruding, substantially as presently claimed.

However, neither Wade nor JP'645 teach the presently claimed 3000 series composition. However, "Metals Handbook: Desk Edition" teaches that the presently claimed composition is known (3105, 3003, etc.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the homogenization and extrusion process as taught by Wade and JP'645 on a variety of 3000 series aluminum alloys, such as (3105, 3003, etc.) as taught by the "Metals Handbook: Desk Edition", because 3000 alloys have high corrosion resistance and strength, and are suitable for heat exchanger parts (Wade abstract, JP'645 abstract).

8. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gullotti et al (US 3,990,922) and JP 61-052346 A (JP'346).

Gullotti teaches a process for producing hollow material from an aluminum alloy comprising up to 0.40% Mn (column 3 lines 4-5), wherein said process comprises the steps of homogenizing at 557-607°C for 2-12 hours, and then homogenizing at 20-100°F below the

Application/Control Number: 09/771,309

Art Unit: 1742

920F 1000

solidus (typically 493-538°C) for 2-12 hours (column 2 lines 7-13, column 4 lines 7-8), wherein said process includes slowly cooling to at least 800°F at a rate of < 100°F/hr (column 4 lines 12-14) followed by cooling to room temperature, and heating up to an extrusion temperature and extruding (column 4 lines 39-45). Gullotti teaches that the heat treatment of the present invention drives elements such as manganese out of solution (Mn precipitates, column 3 lines 62-65).

Gullotti does not mention extruding by "port hole" extrusion. However, JP'346 teaches that port hole extrusion can be applied to similar 6000 series alloys, and is used for producing tubes (abstracts, Fig. 1-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform port hole extrusion, as taught by JP'346, after the homogenization cycle of Gullotti because JP'346 teaches that similar 6000 alloys are suitable for port hole extrusion.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 61-052346A (JP'346).

JP'346 teaches a process of producing an aluminum alloy hollow material with 0.05-0.5% Mn, wherein said process comprises the steps of homogenizing at 480-580°C for 1-24 hrs and port hole extruding (abstract). Because it is unclear if homogenizing at 500-630°C for 0-24 hr is an optional step (see 112 second paragraph rejection above), JP'346 is held to teach homogenizing and port hole extruding substantially as presently claimed. It is held that JP'346 has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

10. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record

does not teach a process for making an aluminum alloy hollow material (with the presently

claimed composition) by a 2 step homogenization followed by cooling, substantially as presently

claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4757. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1742

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7719 for regular communications and (703) 305-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7

jcm May 23, 2002

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
INITIAL							YES	NO
Jem	1.	04-72045	3/6/92	Japan			х	
2 mi							(Partial/ Abstract)	
\ ,,,,,	2.	61-119645	6/6/86	Japan			Х	
Sim					-	_	(Partial/ Abstract)	
> -00	3.	59-185765	10/22/84	Japan		į	х	
San					-	_	(Partial/ Abstract)	
	4.	09-263917	10/7/97	Japan			×	
Am					-		(Partial/ Abstract)	
	5.	11-172388	6/29/99	Japan			Х	
(XW					-	_	(Partial/ Abstract)	

EXAMINER INITIAL	OTHER DOCUMENTS (INCLUDING AUTHOR, TITLE, DATE, PERTINENT PAGES, ETC.)					

O:\DOCS\PCH\PCH-1120.DOC:vb 062001

EXAMINER Jamelle Combs-Moril	date considered $5-21-02$
------------------------------	---------------------------

*EXAMINER: INITIAL IF CITATION CONSIDERED, WHETHER OR NOT CITATION IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH MPEP 609; DRAW LINE THROUGH CITATION IF NOT IN CONFORMANCE AND NOT CONSIDERED, INCLUDE COPY OF THIS FORM WITH NEXT COMMUNICATION TO APPLICANT.

Notice	OIP OF Res		ses C	ited
17	3	Zo,	/	

Application/Control No.

O9/771,309

Examiner

Janelle Combs-Morillo

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
TAGUCHI ET AL.

Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code Number Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,286,316 A	02-1994	Wade	148/550
	В	US-3,990,922 A	11-1976	Gullotti et al	148/11.5
	O	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	н	US-			
	-	US-			
	J	US-			
	κ	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date / MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
\prod	N	JP 61-052346 A	93-1986	JP	-	-
	0	JP 61-119645 A	06-1986	JP	-	-
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
l	U	"ASM Handbook: Desk Edition", 2 nd ed, ASM International, 1998, p 428.
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.